



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,333	04/06/2001	Syed K. Quraishi	62225-160	2977
<div>7590 08/24/2009 MCDERMOTT, WILL &amp; EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096</div>				
<div>EXAMINER CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E</div>				
<div>ART UNIT 3695</div>		<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>		
<div>MAIL DATE 08/24/2009</div>		<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/827,333

**Applicant(s)**

QURAISHI ET AL.

**Examiner**

SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI

**Art Unit**

3695

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Atty Babak Akhlaghi, L0250.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stein.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of the obviousness rejections of certain elements in claim 6, namely the two wherein clauses of element c) regarding an automated rules process which includes routing automated or manual order execution, and draft amendment of the second wherein clause of claim element b) which claims the assignment of levels of scope of the source of transactions. The examiner will await Applicant's formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles R. Kyle/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695